



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,605	01/26/2004	Robert Hartzell	9146.0006-00	6156
22852 7590 02/02/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER KIM, DAVID S	
			ART UNIT 2613	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/02/2007	PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/764,605

Applicant(s)

HARTZELL ET AL.

Examiner

David S. Kim

Art Unit

2613

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 January 2004 and 06 July 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                       | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Objections

1. **Claim 4** is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 4 is a dependent claim of independent claim 1. However, Applicant may have intended claim 4 to depend on claim 3. Otherwise, "a third intermediate layer" is introduced when the parent claim(s) do not introduce an intervening "second intermediate layer" between the "first intermediate layer" of parent claim 1 and this "third intermediate layer".

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1, 3-9, and 11-14** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartur et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0142929 A1, hereinafter "Bartur") in view of Peters et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,323,520, hereinafter "Peters").

**Regarding claim 1**, Bartur discloses:

A transceiver system, comprising:

a transmitter portion (Fig. 2c, 292 may be a transmit laser diode of paragraph [0049]) arranged on a bottom layer of a multi-layer board, the transmitter portion capable of providing signals to a transmitter optical subassembly;

a receiver portion (Fig. 2c, 292 may be a receiver photodiode of paragraph [0049]) arranged on the bottom layer of the multi-layer board, the receiver portion capable of receiving signals from a receiver optical subassembly;

Art Unit: 2613

a high-voltage power supply (power supply layer in paragraph [0051], an APD of paragraph [0049] generally requires high voltage) arranged on a layer of the multi-layer board, the high-voltage power supply providing a bias voltage for the receiver optical sub assembly; and

a metallic ground plane arranged on a first intermediate layer between a top layer and the bottom layer (Fig. 2c, 270).

Bartur does not expressly disclose:

the high-voltage power supply arranged on a **top** layer of the multi-layer board; and  
the metallic ground plane providing electrical isolation between the high-voltage power supply and the transmitter portion and the receiver portion.

However, the practice of locating a power supply and other circuitry on opposite sides of a multi-layer board is known in the art, as shown by Peters (Fig. 1, power supplied through layer 2 and other circuitry on layer 1). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to locate the power supply of Bartur and the transmitter and receiver portions on opposite sides of the multi-layer board. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Bartur teaches the placement of a power supply layer isolated from other layers (Bartur, end of paragraph [0050]). That is, among all of the various locations to place an isolated power supply layer, the opposite side of the multi-layer board from the transmitter and receiver portions is a suitable location. With such a position, the metallic ground plane (Bartur, 270 in Fig. 2c) would be located between the power supply and the transmitter and receiver portions, providing electrical isolation therebetween.

**Regarding claims 3-5**, Bartur in view of Peters does not expressly disclose:

(claim 3) The system according to claim 1, wherein a second intermediate layer having vias is arranged between the first intermediate layer and the top layer.

(claim 4) The system according to claim 1, wherein a third intermediate layer having vias is arranged between the first intermediate layer and the bottom layer.

(claim 5) The system according to claim 4, wherein an interconnect layer is arranged between the first intermediate layer and the third intermediate layer.

Art Unit: 2613

However, Bartur does disclose the use of additional layers (end of paragraph [0051]) and the use of vias (end of paragraph [0051]). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include any of these various layers and vias to provide obvious variants of the system of Bartur in view of Peters. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this for any variety of suitable reasons, such as further insulation (Bartur, end of paragraph [0051]), reduction of the possibility of shorting (Bartur, end of paragraph [0051]), or addition of components and circuitry.

**Regarding claim 6**, Bartur in view of Peters does not expressly disclose:

The system according to claim 1, further including a microcontroller system arranged on the top layer and the bottom layer.

However, the use of a microcontroller system for a system, such the system of Bartur in view of Peters, is an extremely well known practice in the art. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place a microcontroller system on the top and bottom layers. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to locate microcontroller components in close proximity of the circuits that they would control, such as the power supply of the top layer and the transmitter and receiver portions of the bottom layer.

**Regarding claims 7-9**, claims 7, 8, and 9 are claims that introduce limitations that correspond to the limitations all introduced by claim 1. Therefore, the recited limitations in claim 1 read on the corresponding limitations in claims 7-9.

**Regarding claim 11**, Bartur in view of Peters does not expressly disclose:

The method of claim 8, further including arranging a first intermediate layer between the top layer and the bottom layer, the first intermediate layer including vias to provide electrical contact with traces on the top layer (e.g., Peters, via 16 or 17 in Fig. 11).

**Regarding claims 12-13**, Bartur in view of Peters does not expressly disclose:

(claim 12) The method of claim 11, further including arranging a second intermediate layer between the first intermediate layer and the intermediate layer, the second intermediate layer providing traces.

Art Unit: 2613

(claim 13) The method of claim 12, further including arranging a third intermediate layer between the intermediate layer and the bottom layer, the third intermediate layer including vias.

However, Bartur does disclose the use of additional layers (end of paragraph [0051]), the use of vias (end of paragraph [0051]), and the use of traces (trace layer 290 in Fig. 2c). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include any of these various layers, vias, and traces to provide obvious variants of the system of Bartur in view of Peters. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this for any variety of suitable reasons, such as further insulation (Bartur, end of paragraph [0051]), reduction of the possibility of shorting (Bartur, end of paragraph [0051]), or addition of components and circuitry.

**Regarding claim 14**, claim 14 is an apparatus claim that introduces limitations that correspond to the limitations introduced by system claim 1. Therefore, the recited means in apparatus claim 14 read on the corresponding means in system claim 1.

4. **Claims 2 and 10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartur in view of Peters as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Nelson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,097,393, hereinafter "Nelson").

**Regarding claim 2**, Bartur in view of Peters does not expressly disclose:

The system according to claim 1, wherein the transmitter portion and the receiver portion are arranged in a split-ground arrangement.

However, split-ground arrangements are known in the art, as shown by Nelson (col. 12, l. 26-52). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include such an arrangement in the system of Bartur in view of Peters. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to provide electrical isolation (Nelson, col. 12, l. 27-28), which generally reduces electrical interference.

**Regarding claim 10**, claim 10 is a method claim that introduces limitations that correspond to the limitations introduced by system claim 1. Therefore, the recited steps in method claim 10 read on the corresponding means in system claim 1.

Art Unit: 2613

**Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David S. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3033. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9 AM to 5 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth N. Vanderpuye can be reached on 571-272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DSK

  
**KENNETH VANDERPUYE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**